

AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2002-311

**A THIRD-ORDER ANALYTICAL
SOLUTION FOR RELATIVE
MOTION WITH A CIRCULAR
REFERENCE ORBIT**

**Jason W. Mitchell
David L. Richardson**



MAY 2002

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

20020828 154

**AIR VEHICLES DIRECTORATE
AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7542**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY) May 2002				2. REPORT TYPE Preprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A THIRD-ORDER ANALYTICAL SOLUTION FOR RELATIVE MOTION WITH A CIRCULAR REFERENCE ORBIT				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER In-house			
				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER N/A			
6. AUTHOR(S) Jason W. Mitchell (AFRL/VACA) David L. Richardson (U of Cincinnati)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER N/A			
				5e. TASK NUMBER N/A			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER N/A			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Control Theory Optimization Branch (AFRL/VACA) Control Sciences Division Air Vehicles Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Force Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7542				 Department of Aerospace Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, OH 45221-0070		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2002-311	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AIR VEHICLES DIRECTORATE AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7542				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL/VACA			
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-VA-WP-TP-2002-311			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Article submitted for publication in <i>Journal of the Astronautical Sciences</i> . Presented at the ASS/AIAA 2002 Space Flight Mechanics Meeting in San Antonio, TX. Jason W. Mitchell is a visiting scientist performing work under a National Research Council Research Associateship Award at AFRL/VACA.							
14. ABSTRACT From a Lagrangian approach for the development of the relative motion equations for a nonlinear Hill's problem, it is shown that the influence of a spherical primary mass takes the form of a third-body-like disturbing function expressed relative to the origin of Hill's rotating frame. The resulting Lagrangian and corresponding equations of motion are compact and can provide an easily obtainable representation of the nonlinear contributions to the motion to an arbitrary order using recursion relations. The relative equations are expanded through third-order in the local Hill's coordinates and a correspondingly accurate successive approximations solution is developed to describe nonlinear periodic motions in the Hill's frame.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT Unclassified b. ABSTRACT Unclassified c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT: SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor) Jason W. Mitchell 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (937) 255-8686		

A THIRD-ORDER ANALYTICAL SOLUTION FOR RELATIVE MOTION WITH A CIRCULAR REFERENCE ORBIT

David L. Richardson* and Jason W. Mitchell†

Abstract

From a Lagrangian approach for the development of the relative motion equations for a nonlinear Hill's problem, it is shown that the influence of a spherical primary mass takes the form of a third-body-like disturbing function expressed relative to the origin of Hill's rotating frame. The resulting Lagrangian and corresponding equations of motion are compact and can provide an easily obtainable representation of the nonlinear contributions to the motion to an arbitrary order using recursion relations. The relative-motion equations are expanded through third-order in the local Hill's coordinates and a correspondingly accurate successive approximations solution is developed to describe nonlinear periodic motions in the Hill's frame.

INTRODUCTION

Considerable attention has been given to the dynamics and control of formation flying satellites [1, 10, 6]. In particular, much effort has been given to determining the relative effects of perturbations on satellite formations. Frequently, preliminary mission analyses begin with what are commonly known as Hill's [4] or Clohessy-Wiltshire [3] equations. These are the linearized equations describing the relative motion of two bodies under the gravitational influence of a point-mass central body. Traditionally, these equations have been used to describe rendezvous maneuvers and typically prove useful only for a few orbital revolutions. More in-depth analyses have included the effects of central body oblateness, solar and electromagnetic perturbations, drag [11]; and eccentricity effects [5]. Consequently, it seems of interest to develop an analytical solution describing periodic motions in Hill's frame containing the most significant nonlinear contributions, which influence the relative motion as much as the effects of more well-known perturbations.

In this paper, we describe a third-order analytical perturbation solution developed by successive approximations that provides a very accurate periodic solution to a set of nonlinear Hill's equations.

*Professor, Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics, University of Cincinnati, P.O. Box 210070, Cincinnati OH 45221.

†Visiting Scientist, Air Vehicles Directorate, Control Theory Optimization Branch (VACA), 2210 Eighth St., Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433.




Figure 1: Master-slave geometry with $\hat{i} \times \hat{j} = \hat{k}$.

THIRD-ORDER NONLINEAR HILL'S EQUATIONS

The drag-free, nonlinear Hill's equations describing the motion of a *slave* relative to a *master* in a circular orbit of radius R and mean motion n are obtained from the relative-motion Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{GE}{\rho} + n^2 R X, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{r} = X \hat{i} + Y \hat{j} + Z \hat{k},$$

and

$$\rho^2 = R^2 + r^2 + 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{R}.$$

X, Y, Z form a local dextral system of coordinates with origin at the master as shown in Figure 1. The X axis (\hat{i}) lies in the direction of the radial line outward from the central body (Earth), Y is oriented in the along-track (velocity) direction (\hat{j}) and Z lies along the orbit normal (\hat{k}) in the direction of the angular momentum.

It is algebraically convenient to introduce nondimensional coordinates and a nondimensional independent variable τ via the equations

$$X = Rx, \quad Y = Ry, \quad Z = Rz, \quad \frac{d}{dt} = n \frac{d}{d\tau}. \quad (2)$$

The new Lagrangian for the motion is the scaled Lagrangian $L \rightarrow \mathcal{L} = L/(n^2 R^2)$ transformed from Eq. (1) along with the substitution, $GE = n^2 R^3$, obtained from Kepler's Third Law. The result is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\varrho' \cdot \varrho' + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2x+\varrho^2}} + x. \quad (3)$$

The square root contribution is recognized as the generating function for the Legendre polynomials $P_n(-x/\varrho) = (-1)^n P_n(x/\varrho)$ having argument $-x/\varrho$ where ϱ is the scaled distance from the master to the slave with

$$\varrho = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}.$$

This produces the infinite series expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\varrho' \cdot \varrho' + \sum_{n \geq 2} (-\varrho)^n P_n(x/\varrho). \quad (4)$$

Using the above Lagrangian, the equations of motion for the slave relative to the master are obtained through third-order in the nondimensional coordinates. We find

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'' - 2y' - 3x &= -\frac{3}{2} (2x^2 - y^2 - z^2) + 2x(2x^2 - 3y^2 - 3z^2), \\ y'' + 2x' &= 3xy - \frac{3}{2}y(4x^2 - y^2 - z^2), \\ z'' + z &= 3xz - \frac{3}{2}z(4x^2 - y^2 - z^2). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

Linear Solution and Constraints

If the nonlinear contributions on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (4) are ignored, we have the well-known set of linear Hill's equations that are often used as the basis for rendezvous and formation flying analyses:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'' - 2y' - 3x &= 0, \\ y'' + 2x' &= 0, \\ z'' + z &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

The characteristic equation for the x, y system is

$$\lambda^2 (\lambda^2 + 1) = 0.$$

The repeated roots, $\lambda = \{0, 0\}$, give rise to a linear solution that contains a secular drift. We write the general solution as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= a_1 + a_3 \cos \tau + a_4 \sin \tau, \\ y(\tau) &= a_2 - \frac{3}{2}a_1\tau + 2a_4 \cos \tau - 2a_3 \sin \tau, \\ z(\tau) &= a_5 \cos \tau + a_6 \sin \tau. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

The integration constants a_i in the general solution are related to the components of the initial state vector $(x_0, y_0, z_0, x'_0, y'_0, z'_0)^T$ through the relations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_1 &= 4x_0 + 2y'_0, \\ a_2 &= y_0 - 2x'_0, \\ a_3 &= -3x_0 - 2y'_0, \\ a_4 &= x'_0, \\ a_5 &= z_0, \\ a_6 &= z'_0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

We impose the following constraints on the initial conditions to insure that the linear solution is periodic about the origin of coordinates:

$$a_1 = 4x_0 + 2y'_0 = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$a_2 = y_0 - 2x'_0 = 0. \quad (10)$$

The algebra encountered in our nonlinear developments is simplified somewhat if we rewrite the periodic solution to Eqs. (6) in phase/amplitude form. To this end, we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x(\tau) = -A \cos(\tau + \phi), \\ y(\tau) = 2A \sin(\tau + \phi), \\ z(\tau) = B \sin(\tau + \psi). \end{array} \right\} \quad (11)$$

NONLINEAR APPROXIMATION

Since the periodic Hill's problem is the relative difference in motion of two gravitationally non-interacting two-body motions with identical semimajor axes, we do not expect the frequencies of our nonlinear periodic solution to be a function of the amplitudes of the motion. Nor do we expect to have to impose any equality constraints on the amplitudes of motion as was required in a similar successive approximations derivation for periodic motions about the collinear points in the circular-restricted problem [8, 9]. Nevertheless, to provide additional algebraic flexibility, we introduce a frequency parameter ω and once again change the independent variable from τ to s via the transformation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} = \omega \frac{d}{ds}. \quad (12)$$

The successive approximations solution to the third-order equations of motion given in Eqs. (5) are the asymptotic series

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x(s) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \mathcal{O}(4), \\ y(s) = y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + \mathcal{O}(4), \\ z(s) = z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + \mathcal{O}(4). \end{array} \right\} \quad (13)$$

The system frequency ω is assumed to have an asymptotic development of

$$\omega = 1 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \mathcal{O}(3). \quad (14)$$

The subscripted functions above are taken to obey the order of magnitude relations

$$x_i, y_i = \mathcal{O}(A^i), \quad z_i = \mathcal{O}(B^i), \quad \omega_i = \mathcal{O}(A^i). \quad (15)$$

To fourth-order, the equations of motion are rewritten and separated into the equations of the first-, second- and third-order. For the first-order, we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1'' - 2y_1' - 3x_1 = 0, \\ y_1'' + 2x_1' = 0, \\ z_1'' + z_1 = 0. \end{array} \right\} \quad (16)$$

In these equations and those following, primes denote d/ds . From Eqs. (11), the solution is

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 = -A \cos(s + \phi), \\ y_1 = 2A \sin(s + \phi), \\ z_1 = B \sin(s + \psi). \end{array} \right\} \quad (17)$$

The equations for the second- and third-order are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_2'' - 2y_2' - 3x_2 &= -2\omega_1(x_1'' - y_1') - \frac{3}{2}(2x_1^2 - y_1^2 - z_1^2), \\ y_2'' + 2x_2' &= -2\omega_1(y_1'' + x_1') + 3x_1y_1, \\ z_2'' + z_2 &= -2\omega_1z_1'' + 3x_1z_1, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_3'' - 2y_3' - 3x_3 &= -2\omega_1(x_2'' - y_2') - 2\omega_2(x_1'' - y_1') - \omega_1^2x_1'' \\ &\quad - 3(2x_1x_2 - y_1y_2 - z_1z_2) + 2x_1(2x_1^2 - 3y_1^2 - 3z_1^2), \\ y_3'' + 2x_3' &= -2\omega_1(y_2'' + x_2') - 2\omega_2(y_1'' + x_1') - \omega_1^2y_1'' \\ &\quad + 3(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) - \frac{3}{2}y_1(4x_1^2 - y_1^2 - z_1^2), \\ z_3'' + z_3 &= -2\omega_1z_2'' - (2\omega_2 + \omega_1^2)z_1'' + 3(x_1z_2 + x_2z_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}z_1(4x_1^2 - y_1^2 - z_1^2), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (19)$$

respectively.

Second-Order Corrections

To eliminate secular terms in the particular solutions to Eqs. (18), the (expected) assignment

$$\omega_1 = 0 \quad (20)$$

is required. After substituting from Eqs. (17), the equations for the second-order corrections are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_2'' - 2y_2' - 3x_2 &= \frac{3}{4}(2A^2 + B^2) - \frac{9}{2}A^2 \cos 2u - \frac{3}{4}B^2 \cos 2v, \\ y_2'' + 2x_2' &= -3A^2 \sin 2u, \\ z_2'' + z_2 &= -\frac{3}{2}AB[\sin(u+v) + \sin(v-u)], \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (21)$$

where the abbreviations in the trigonometric arguments are

$$u = s + \phi, \quad v = s + \psi.$$

Constructing particular solutions is algebraically straight forward. The results are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}(2A^2 + B^2) + \frac{1}{2}A^2 \cos 2u + \frac{1}{4}B^2 \cos 2v, \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{4}A^2 \sin 2u - \frac{1}{4}B^2 \sin 2v, \\ z_2 &= \frac{1}{2}AB[\sin(u+v) - 3\sin(v-u)]. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (22)$$

Third-Order Corrections

Substituting the lower-order solutions into the right-hand sides of Eqs. (19) produces

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_3'' - 2y_3' - 3x_3 &= \frac{3}{4}AB^2 \cos(u - 2v) + A\left(\frac{9}{4}A^2 + 2\omega_2\right) \cos u \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4}AB^2 \cos(u + 2v) - \frac{25}{4}A^3 \cos 3u, \\ y_3'' + 2x_3' &= -\frac{3}{8}AB^2 \sin(u - 2v) + A\left(\frac{9}{8}A^2 + 2\omega_2\right) \sin u \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8}AB^2 \sin(u + 2v) - \frac{39}{8}A^3 \sin 3u, \\ z_3'' + z_3 &= 2B\omega_2 \sin v - 3A^2B \sin(2u + v). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (23)$$

It is seen that the only choice for removing the $\sin v$ term in the z_3'' equation is to impose the condition

$$\omega_2 = 0. \quad (24)$$

Accordingly, the frequency corrections are all zero to this order of analysis, as expected. Integrating to find a particular solution for z_3 produces

$$z_3 = \frac{3}{8}A^2B \sin(2u + v). \quad (25)$$

It would seem that there are various terms in the coupled x_3'' , y_3'' equations that could contribute secular results to the solution. However, this is not the case. If the y_3'' equation is integrated once and the result substituted into the x_3'' equation, we have

$$x_3'' + x_3 = -AB^2 \cos(u + 2v) - 3A^3 \cos 3u,$$

with the particular solution

$$x_3 = \frac{1}{8}AB^2 \cos(u + 2v) + \frac{3}{8}A^3 \cos 3u. \quad (26)$$

This result allows us to write an expression for y_3' which is integrated to give

$$y_3 = -\frac{1}{8}AB^2 \sin(u + 2v) + \frac{7}{24}A^3 \sin 3u + \frac{3}{8}AB^2 \sin(u - 2v) - \frac{9}{8}A^3 \sin u. \quad (27)$$




Figure 2: x -direction comparison of perturbation solution to integration of third-order differential equations.




Figure 3: y -direction comparison of perturbation solution to integration of third-order differential equations.

COMPLETE SOLUTION AND NUMERICAL TESTING

We collate the above results and write our third-order successive approximations solution to Eqs. (5) as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= -A \cos u - \frac{1}{4} (2A^2 + B^2) + \frac{1}{2} A^2 \cos 2u + \frac{1}{4} B^2 \cos 2v \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} AB^2 \cos(u + 2v) + \frac{3}{8} A^3 \cos 3u, \\ y(\tau) &= 2A \sin u + \frac{1}{4} A^2 \sin 2u - \frac{1}{4} B^2 \sin 2v - \frac{1}{8} AB^2 \sin(u + 2v) + \frac{7}{24} A^3 \sin 3u \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8} AB^2 \sin(u - 2v) - \frac{9}{8} A^3 \sin u, \\ z(\tau) &= B \sin v + \frac{1}{2} AB [\sin(u + v) - 3 \sin(v - u)] + \frac{3}{8} A^2 B \sin(2u + v), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (28)$$

where

$$u = \tau + \phi, \quad v = \tau + \psi$$

because $\omega = 1$, thus making $s = \tau$.

Having developed a perturbation solution, we compared this to a numerical integration of the third-order nonlinear differential equations given by Eqs. (5). The fixed stepsize numerical integration was performed using a Runge-Kutta 4/5 method [2] spanning approximately one day at an orbital altitude of 500 km.

The initial conditions were selected by specifying the unscaled amplitudes and phases used in the perturbation solution. We chose

$$A^* = 20 \text{ km}, \quad B^* = 4 \text{ km}, \quad \phi = 0, \quad \psi = \pi/2. \quad (29)$$

The appropriately scaled amplitudes A, B and ϕ, ψ where then used with Eqs. (28) along with their derivatives to compute the test set of initial conditions.




Figure 4: z -direction comparison of perturbation solution to integration of third-order differential equations.

diff	amplitude	avg
x	4.1052×10^{-6}	$+4.3961 \times 10^{-6}$
y	2.2746×10^{-6}	-4.7845×10^{-9}
z	1.0596×10^{-6}	-3.1647×10^{-6}

Table 1: Perturbation to linear solution comparison.

The position difference between the third-order perturbation solution and the numerical integration can be seen in Figures 2–4. Overall, the perturbation solution appears to represent a periodic solution to the actual third-order equations. The accuracy remained consistent with the truncation errors in the successive approximations solution. There is a small along-track secular runoff seen in Figure 3. The likely source for this behavior is attributed to a small difference between the semimajor axes of the slave and the master. This discrepancy creates a small difference in orbit periods which over a one day simulation interval is seen as a small along-track separation. For orbital configurations of similar energies, this drift will remain small on the order of the truncation errors in the development of the equations of motion. All this is reinforced in Figure 3.

For comparison, Table 1 shows the difference between the linear solution and the perturbation solution. Since the differences are harmonic, the amplitude and average of the differences between the linear and perturbation solution are provided. The amplitude of the oscillation indicates that the differences are small, but not insignificant as a perturbing effect.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a third-order successive approximations solution describing relative motion in the neighborhood of a circular reference orbit was presented. The equations of motion

tion were developed from a compact relative-motion Lagrangian for two gravitationally non-interacting satellites. The resulting analytical solution compared well to a numerical integration of the third-order nonlinear differential equations over a simulation period of one day. The perturbation solution errors in the radial, along-track, and orbit normal directions remained on the order of millimeters, centimeters, and tenths of millimeters, respectively, throughout. These differences remained small even in the presence of slight differences in orbital energies. Discrepancies were consistent with local truncation errors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed while the second named author held a National Research Council Research Associateship Award at the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) in the Air Vehicles Directorate Control Theory Optimization Branch (VACA) located at the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

REFERENCES

1. Alfriend, K. T. and Schaub, H., "Dynamic and Control of Spacecraft Formations: Challenges and Some Solutions," *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, **48**, 2000.
2. Bettis, D. G., "An Optimal Explicit Runge-Kutta Method of Order Six," unpublished paper.
3. Clohessy, W. and Wiltshire, R., "Terminal Guidance Systems for Satellite Rendezvous," *Journal of the Aerospace Sciences*, **27**, 1960.
4. Hill, G. W., "Researches in Lunar Theory," *American Journal of Mathematics*, **1**, 1878.
5. Melton, Robert G., "Relative Motion of Satellites in Elliptical Orbits," *Proceedings of the AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialists Conference*, 1997.
6. Mitchell, J. W. and Richardson, D. L., "Maintaining Periodic Trajectories with the First-Order Nonlinear Hill's Equations," *Proceedings of the AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialist Conference*, 2001.
7. Nayfeh, A. H., *Perturbation Methods*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1973.
8. Richardson, D. L., "Periodic Orbits about the L_1 and L_2 Collinear Points in the Circular-Restricted Problem," *Computer Sciences Corp.*, CSC/TR-78/6002, 1978.
9. Richardson, D. L., "Analytical Construction of Periodic Orbits about the Collinear Points," *Celestial Mechanics*, **22**, p. 241, 1980.
10. Sabol, C., Burns, R., and McLaughlin, C. A., "Satellite Formation Flying Design and Evolution," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, **38**, 2001.
11. Sedwick, R. J. and Miller, D. W. and Kong, E. M. C., "Mitigation of differential perturbations in formation flying satellite clusters," *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, **47**, 1999.